arXiv:1007.2230v2 [math.AC] 3 Jun 2011

Vénéreau-type polynomials as potential counterexamples

Drew Lewis
andrew@math.wustl.edu

June 6, 2011

Abstract

We study some properties of the Vénéreau polynomials $f_n = y + x^n(xz + y(yu + z^2)) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, u]$, a sequence of proposed counterexamples to the Abhyankar-Sathaye embedding conjecture. It is well known that these are hyperplanes, and for $n \geq 3$, they are $\mathbb{C}[x]$-coordinates. For $n = 1, 2$, it is known that they become coordinates upon going modulo x or upon inverting x, and that they are 1-stable $\mathbb{C}[x]$-coordinates. We show that f_2 is in fact a $\mathbb{C}[x]$-coordinate. We introduce the notion of Vénéreau-type polynomials, and show that these are all hyperplanes, coordinates upon going modulo x or upon inverting x, and stably tame, 1-stable $\mathbb{C}[x]$-coordinates. We show that some of these Vénéreau-type polynomials are in fact $\mathbb{C}[x]$-coordinates; the rest remain potential counterexamples to the embedding and other conjectures. For those that we show to be coordinates, we also show that any automorphism with one of them as a component is stably tame.

1 Introduction

Let A (and all other rings) be a commutative ring with one throughout. An *A-coordinate* (if A is understood, we simply say *coordinate*; some authors prefer the term *variable*) is a polynomial $f \in A^{[n]}$ for which there exist $f_2, \dots f_n \in A^{[n]}$ such that $A[f, f_2, \dots, f_n] = A^{[n]}$. It is natural to ask when a polynomial is a coordinate; this question is extremely deep and has been studied for some time. There are several conjectures concerning the identification of coordinates. First, the well-known Embedding Conjecture asserts, in short, that hyperplanes are coordinates:

Conjecture 1. (Abhyankar-Sathaye) *Let A be a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra and $f \in A^{[n]}$. If $A^{[n]}/(f) \cong A^{[n-1]}$, then f is a coordinate of $A^{[n]}$.*

This is known only for $n = 2$ with A a field. We remark that the condition of A being a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra is necessary, even with $n = 2$.

Another conjecture concerns stable coordinates. We say a polynomial $f \in A^{[n]}$ is a *stable coordinate* if f is a coordinate in $A^{[n+m]}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If we want to be precise about the number of additional variables needed, we say f is an *m-stable coordinate.*

Conjecture 2. *Let A be a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra and $f \in A^{[n]}$. If f is a stable coordinate, then f is a coordinate.*

Finally, in the case of $A = \mathbb{C}[x]$ (or a polynomial ring in one variable over any field containing $\mathbb{Q}$), we have the following, which is a special case of the Dolgachev-Weisfeiler conjecture.

Conjecture 3. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]^{[n]}$. If $\bar{f}$, the image of f modulo x, is a coordinate in $\mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ and f is a coordinate in $(\mathbb{C}[x]_x)^{[n]}$, then f is a $\mathbb{C}[x]$-coordinate.*

The relationship between these three conjectures is essentially found in [5], although not explicitly stated. It is simple to check that for any f satisfying the hypotheses of Conjecture 3, $\mathbb{C}[x]^{[n]}$ is a pseudopolynomial algebra over $\mathbb{C}[x, f]$ (in the language of [5], $\mathbb{C}[x]^{[n]}$ is an affine prefibration over $\mathbb{C}[x, f]$); that is, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x, f]$, $\mathbb{C}[x]^{[n]} \otimes k(\mathfrak{p}) \cong k(\mathfrak{p})^{[n-1]}$. Thus, the theorem of Asanuma [1] provides that Conjecture 2 implies Conjecture 3. When $n \leq 3$, Sathaye's theorem [9] (for $n = 3$) and a result of Eakin and Heinzer [6] (for $n = 2$), together with results of Bass, Connell, and Wright [2], give that Conjecture 1 implies Conjecture 3.

In his thesis [11], Vénéreau constructed a family of polynomials f_n in 4 variables which he showed to be hyperplanes, coordinates upon going modulo x, and coordinates upon inversion of x. He also showed that f_n is a $\mathbb{C}[x]$-coordinate when $n \geq 3$, but was unable to do so for $n = 1, 2$. These polynomials were written down independently by Berson in his thesis [3] as well. Freudenburg [7] showed that f_1 and f_2 are both 1-stable coordinates, making them candidate counterexamples to all three conjectures. Since these polynomials were first constructed, many additional coordinate-like properties about them have been established (e.g. [5], [7], [10]).

In section 2, we show that f_2 is a $\mathbb{C}[x]$-coordinate and highlight a key difference that distinguishes f_1 from the other f_n, $n > 1$. In section 3, we write down a new, related family of polynomials g_m. These are of particular interest because of the observation that g_2 is a $\mathbb{C}[x]$-coordinate if and only if f_1 is as well. In section 4, we introduce the notion of a Vénéreau-type polynomial (of which the Vénéreau polynomials and the g_m are examples), and show that all Vénéreau-type polynomials satisfy the hypotheses of these three conjectures; that is, they are all hyperplanes, coordinates modulo x and upon inverting x, and 1-stable coordinates. We show that a large class of them are in fact coordinates, but note there are many which still lurk as potential counterexamples. We also apply the recent results of [4] to obtain some interesting stable tameness results about these polynomials.

2 The Vénéreau polynomials

Throughout, we will let $R = \mathbb{C}[x]$, and let S be the localization $S = \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$. We will work mostly in the rings $R[y, z, u] \hookrightarrow S[y, z, u]$. Define

$$p = yu + z^2 \qquad v = xz + yp \qquad w = x^2u - 2xzp - yp^2 \tag{1}$$

Let $D = y\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - 2z\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \in \operatorname{LND}_R R[y, z, u]$, and observe $\ker D = R[y, p]$. Hence $\frac{p}{x}D \in \operatorname{LND}_S S[y, z, u]$, and $\psi = \exp(\frac{p}{x})D \in \operatorname{Aut}_S S[y, z, u]$ with $\det J\psi = 1$. Note that ψ is essentially the Nagata automorphism. A quick computation shows $\psi = (y, \frac{v}{x}, \frac{w}{x^2})$. So we see $S[y, z, u] = S[y, \frac{v}{x}, \frac{w}{x^2}] = S[y, v, w]$. Further, noting that $D(p) = 0$, so $\psi(p) = p$, we have $y\frac{w}{x^2} + (\frac{v}{x})^2 = p$, i.e.

$$yw + v^2 = x^2p \tag{2}$$

Definition 1. For $n \geq 1$, the n-th Vénéreau polynomial is

$$f_n = y + x^n v = y + x^n(xz + y(yu + z^2)) \tag{3}$$

The following is well-known, but instructive:

Proposition 1. (Vénéreau) *f_n is a coordinate of $S[y, z, u]$ for $n \geq 1$ and a coordinate of $R[y, z, u]$ for $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. This is a simple computation, letting $\theta_n = \psi^{-1} \circ (y + x^{n+1}z, z, u) \circ \psi$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_n &= \psi^{-1} \circ \Big(y + x^n v, \frac{v}{x}, \frac{w}{x^2}\Big) \\
&= \Big(y + x^n v, \frac{v}{x} - \frac{p + x^{n-2}vw}{x}(y + x^n v), \frac{w}{x^2} + 2\frac{p + x^{n-2}vw}{x}\frac{v}{x} - \frac{(p + x^{n-2}vw)^2}{x^2}(y + x^n v)\Big) \\
&= (f_n, \frac{1}{x}(v - yp) - x^{n-1}pv - x^{n-3}vwf_n, \frac{1}{x^2}(w + 2pv - p^2y) + 2x^{n-4}vw(v - yp) - \\
&\qquad x^{2n-6}yv^2w^2 - x^{n-2}v(p + x^{n-2}vw)^2) \\
&= \big(f_n, z - x^{n-3}vw(y + x^n v) - x^{n-1}pv, \\
&\qquad u + 2x^{n-4}(xzvw) - y(x^{n-3}vw)^2 - x^{n-2}p^2v - 2x^{2n-4}pv^2w - x^{3n-6}v^3w^2\big) \\
&= \big(f_n, z - x^{n-3}vwf_n - x^{n-1}pv, u + 2x^{n-3}vw(z - x^{n-3}vwf_n - x^{n-1}pv) + (x^{n-3}vw)^2f_n - x^{n-2}p^2v\big)
\end{aligned}$$

So for $n \geq 3$, $\theta_n \in \operatorname{End}_R R[y, z, u] \cap \operatorname{Aut}_S S[y, z, u]$, and since $J\theta_n = 1$, we have $\theta_n \in \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y, z, u]$.

□

Closely examining θ_n, one might hope that tweaking slightly the z or u component of the middle automorphism in the composition might eliminate the x^{n-3} terms in θ_n. This naïve approach gives us

Theorem 2. *The second Vénéreau polynomial f_2 is a coordinate in $R[y,z,u]$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_n = \psi^{-1} \circ (y + x^{n+1}z, z - \frac{1}{2}x^{n+1}u, u) \circ \psi$. Then we have $\varphi_n = \psi^{-1}\left(f_n, \frac{v}{x} - \frac{1}{2}x^{n-1}w, \frac{w}{x^2}\right)$. One can check that $(\psi \circ \varphi_n)(p) = p + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}w^2$ and $\varphi_n(p) = \varphi_n(\psi(\psi^{-1}(p))) = (\psi \circ \varphi_n)(\psi^{-1}(p)) = (\psi \circ \varphi_n)(p) = p + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}w^2$. So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_n &= (f_n, (\frac{v}{x} - \frac{1}{2}x^{n-1}w) - \frac{1}{x}(p + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}w^2)(y + x^n v), \\
&\quad \frac{w}{x^2} + \frac{2}{x}(p + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}w^2)(\frac{v}{x} - \frac{1}{2}x^{n-1}w) - \frac{1}{x^2}(p + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}w^2)^2(y + x^n v)) \\
&= (f_n, z - x^{n-1}(\frac{1}{2}w + vp) - \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-3}w^2 f_n, \\
&\quad u + \frac{1}{2}x^{2n-4}vw^2 - x^{n-2}w(p + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}w^2) - x^{n-2}p^2 v - (\frac{1}{2}x^{2n-4}pw^2 + \frac{1}{16}x^{4n-6}w^4)f_n) \\
&= (f_n, z - x^{n-1}(\frac{1}{2}w + vp) - \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-3}w^2 f_n, \\
&\quad u - x^{n-2}p(w + pv) + \frac{1}{2}x^{2n-3}w^2\left(z - x^{n-1}(\frac{1}{2}w + vp) - \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-3}w^2 f_n\right) + \frac{1}{16}x^{4n-6}w^4 f_n) \qquad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly for $n \geq 2$, $\varphi_n \in \operatorname{End}_R R[y,z,u] \cap \operatorname{Aut}_S S[y,z,u]$, and since $J\varphi_n = 1$ we have $\varphi_n \in \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,z,u]$. □

An enlightening way to understand what is going on is provided by the following picture:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \operatorname{Aut}_S S[y,z,u] = \operatorname{Aut}_S S[y,v,w] & \\
\operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,z,u] \arrow[ur, hook] & & \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,v,w] \arrow[ul, hook'] \\
& \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,z,u] \cap \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,v,w] \arrow[ul, hook'] \arrow[ur, hook] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Essentially what one does in Propositions 1 and 2 is write down an element of $\operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,v,w] \subset \operatorname{Aut}_S S[y,v,w] = \operatorname{Aut}_S S[y,z,u]$, and then check that it is also an element of $\operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,z,u]$. Indeed, $\theta_n(y,v,w) = (y + x^n v, v, w)$ and $\varphi_n(y,v,w) = (y + x^n v, v - \frac{1}{2}x^n w, w)$, so clearly we have θ_n and φ_n both in the intersection $\operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,z,u] \cap \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,v,w]$; we also have the additional property that in $R[y,v,w]$, $\theta_n(y,v,w) \equiv \varphi_n(y,v,w) \equiv (y,v,w) \pmod{x}$. It is interesting to note that this is too much to expect from an automorphsim with f_1 as a coordinate:

Proposition 3. *Suppose $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,z,u] \cap \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,v,w]$ with $\phi(y) = f_1$. Then, viewing ϕ as an element of $\operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,v,w]$, $\phi(y,v,w) \not\equiv id \pmod{x}$.*

We require a brief lemma to proceed:

Lemma 4. $\mathbb{C}[y,v,w] \cap (x)R[y,z,u] = \mathbb{C}[y,v,w] \cap (x^2)R[y,z,u] = (yw + v^2)\mathbb{C}[y,v,w]$

Proof. Clearly the relation $yw + v^2 = x^2 p$ guarantees $\mathbb{C}[y,v,w] \cap xR[y,z,u] \supset \mathbb{C}[y,v,w] \cap x^2 R[y,z,u] \supset (yw + v^2)\mathbb{C}[y,v,w]$. So we simply need to see $\mathbb{C}[y,v,w] \cap xR[y,z,u] \subset (yw + v^2)\mathbb{C}[y,v,w]$. Note that we have a map $\alpha : \mathbb{C}[y,v,w] \to \mathbb{C}[y, yp, -yp^2]$ obtained from going $\bmod x$. Clearly $yw + v^2$ is in the kernel of this map, so it descends to the quotient. Observing that $\mathbb{C}[y,v,w]/(yw + v^2) \cong \mathbb{C}[y, v, \frac{-v^2}{y}]$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{C}[y,v,w] \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\beta"'] & \mathbb{C}[y,yp,-yp^2] \\
\mathbb{C}[y,v,\frac{-v^2}{y}] \arrow[ur, "\gamma"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that γ is in fact an isomorphism; hence $\ker\beta = \ker\alpha$. But β is the quotient map, so $\ker\beta = (yw+v^2)$, and $\ker\alpha = \mathbb{C}[y,v,w] \cap (x)R[y,z,u]$.

$\square$

Proof of Proposition. Suppose for contradiction that $\phi(y,v,w) \equiv (y,v,w) \pmod{x}$. Write $\phi(y,v,w) = (y + xv, v + \sum_{i=1} x^i G_i, w + \sum_{i=1} x^i H_i)$ for some $G_i, H_i \in \mathbb{C}[y,v,w]$. First we establish

Claim 5. $\phi(p) - p \in (x)R[y,z,u]$.

Proof. Since $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,z,u]$, we must have $\phi(xz) \in (x)R[y,z,u]$. Note

$$\phi(xz) = \phi(v - yp) = (v + \sum_{i=1} x^i G_i) - (y + xv)\phi(p)$$

Going mod x in $R[y,z,u]$, we see $0 \equiv v - y\phi(p) \equiv yp - y\phi(p) \pmod{x}$, hence $\phi(p) \equiv p \pmod{x}$. $\square$

In particular, we must have $\phi(x^2p) \equiv x^2p \pmod{x^3}$. We compute, in $R/(x^3R)[y,z,u]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
x^2p \equiv \phi(x^2p) &= \phi(yw + v^2) && \pmod{x^3}\\
&\equiv (y + xv)(w + \sum_{i=1} x^i H_i) + (v + \sum_{i=1} x^i G_i)^2 && \pmod{x^3}\\
0 &\equiv x(yH_1 + vw + 2vG_1) + x^2(yH_2 + vH_1 + G_1^2 + 2vG_2) && \pmod{x^3}
\end{aligned}$$

By the lemma, we must have $yH_1 + vw + 2vG_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{(yw + v^2)}$ and $yH_2 + vH_1 + G_1^2 + 2vG_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{(yw + v^2)}$. We make the identification $\mathbb{C}[y,v,w]/(yw + v^2) \cong \mathbb{C}[-\frac{v^2}{w}, v, w]$, and rewrite the first equation as $\frac{-v^2}{w}H_1 + vw + 2vG_1 = 0$. Since this is a domain, we see $G_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v}{w}H_1 - w\right)$. Rewriting the second equation and plugging in, we compute

$$0 = -\frac{v^2}{w}H_2 + vH_1 + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{v}{w}H_1 - w\right)^2 + 2vG_2 \equiv \frac{1}{4}w^2 \pmod{v}$$

The last line clearly gives a contradiction. $\square$

To conclude this section, we give an expression of φ_n as an exponential. In [7], Freudenburg showed that the automorphism $\exp(x^{n-3}vd)$ contained f_n as a coordinate ($n \geq 3$), where $d = J(v,w,\cdot) \in \operatorname{LND}_R R[y,z,u]$. We observe that this is the same as our θ_n:

Proposition 6. $\theta_n = \exp(x^{n-3}vd)$

Proof. Let $D' = x^{n+1}z\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = x^{n+1}zJ(z,u,\cdot)$. Note that since $J\psi = 1$, we have

$$\psi D'\psi^{-1} = x^n v(\psi J(z,u,\cdot)\psi^{-1}) = x^{n-3}vJ(v,w,\cdot)\left(\frac{1}{J\psi}\right) = x^{n-3}vd$$

Thus $\theta_n = \psi^{-1} \circ \exp(D') \circ \psi = \exp(\psi D'\psi^{-1}) = \exp(x^{n-3}vd)$. $\square$

Similarly for φ_n, let $e = J(p + \frac{1}{2}x^{n-2}vw, w, \cdot)$.

Proposition 7. $\varphi_n = \exp(\frac{1}{2}x^{n-1}e)$

Proof. Let $E' = x^{n+1}\left((z+\frac{1}{4}x^{n+1}u)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{2}u\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = \frac{1}{2}x^{n+1}J(p+\frac{1}{2}x^{n+1}zu, u, \cdot)$. As above, observe that

$$\psi E'\psi^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}x^{n+1}(\psi J(p+\frac{1}{2}x^{n+1}zu,u,\cdot)\psi^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}x^{n-1}J(p+\frac{1}{2}x^{n-2}vw,w,\cdot)\left(\frac{1}{J\psi}\right) = \frac{1}{2}x^{n-1}e$$

So we see $\varphi_n = \psi^{-1}\circ\exp(E')\circ\psi = \exp(\psi E'\psi^{-1}) = \exp(\frac{1}{2}x^{n-1}e)$. $\square$

3 A new class of polynomials

Upon looking at the expression of φ_n in (4), one may hope to compose with an automorphism to cancel off the terms with negative x-degree. In fact, if one allows modification of the y-coordinate, one can do so easily. Set $\alpha_n = \exp(-\frac{w}{4}x^{2n-3}d) \in \mathrm{Aut}_S\, S[y,z,u]$. One can compute

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= (y - \frac{1}{4}x^{2n}w, z + x^{2n-3}\frac{w}{4}(yw+x^2p) - \frac{w^2}{16}x^{4n-6}(x^3w),\\ &\qquad u - \frac{w}{4}x^{2n-3}(2wz - xp^2) - \frac{w^2}{16}x^{4n-6}(yw^2+2x^2wp) + \frac{w^3}{64}x^{6n-9}(x^3w^2))\\ &= (y - \frac{1}{4}x^{2n}w, z + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-1}w(p - x^{2n-2}\frac{1}{4}w^2) + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-3}w^2y,\\ &\qquad u + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}w(p - \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}w^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}x^{2n-3}w^2z - \frac{1}{16}x^{4n-6}w^4y)\end{aligned}$$

Now using (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n\circ\varphi_n = \Bigg(&y - \frac{1}{4}x^{2n}w, z + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-1}w(p - \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}w^2) + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-3}w^2y,\\ &u + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}w(p - \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}w^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}x^{2n-3}w^2z - \frac{1}{16}x^{4n-6}w^2y\Bigg)\circ\\ \Bigg(&f_n, z - x^{n-1}(\frac{1}{2}w + vp) - \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-3}w^2f_n,\\ &u - x^{n-2}p(w+pv) + \frac{1}{2}x^{2n-3}w^2(z - x^{n-1}(\frac{1}{2}w+vp) - \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-3}w^2f_n) + \frac{1}{16}x^{4n-6}w^4f_n\Bigg)\end{aligned}$$

And recalling from before that $\varphi_n(w) = w$ and $\varphi_n(p) = p + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}w^2$, we obtain

$$\alpha_n\circ\varphi_n = (f_n - \frac{1}{4}x^{2n}w, z - x^{n-1}(\frac{1}{2}w+vp) + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-1}wp, u - x^{n-2}p(w+pv) + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n-2}p^2w)$$

which is an element of $\mathrm{Aut}_R\, R[y,z,u]$ for all $n \geq 1$ since $w + pv \equiv 0 \pmod{x}$. Note that α_n fixes w, so the composition fixes w. So f_n is a coordinate if and only if $y + \frac{1}{4}x^{2n}w$ is. Now consider the automorphism $\lambda = (cx, y, cz, c^2u)$, where $c^{2n+4} = 4$. Then $(x, y + x^{2n}w, *, *) = \lambda^{-1}\circ(x, y+\frac{1}{4}x^{2n}w, *, *)\circ\lambda$. Let us define $g_m = y + x^m w$; we have shown the following

Proposition 8. *g_{2n} is a $\mathbb{C}[x]$-coordinate if and only if f_n is a $\mathbb{C}[x]$-coordinate.*

This motivates the following:

Question 4. *Are $g_m = y + x^m w$ coordinates in $R[y,z,u]$?*

It turns out the answer is "yes" if $m \geq 3$. So this slightly stronger fact gives an alternate proof of the fact that f_n is a coordinate for all $n \geq 2$. The proof of this is given in more generality below in Theorem 9. We note that the above can be generalized slightly with a nearly identical proof.

Remark 1. Let $P(w) \in R[w]$. Then $y + xvP(w)$ is a coordinate if and only if $y + x^2wP(w)^2$ is.

It turns out the g_m are very coordinate like: they are hyperplanes; coordinates upon going modulo x and coordinates upon inverting x; and stably tame, 1-stable variables. This is shown in more generality in the subsequent section. We mention these in particular here because of their special relationship to the Vénéreau polynomials via Proposition 8.

4 Vénéreau-type polynomials

Looking at $f_n, g_m \in R[y, v, w]$ (recall $R = \mathbb{C}[x]$ and $v, w \in R[y, z, u]$ are as defined in (1)), one may generalize these slightly and still retain all the coordinate-like properties.

Definition 2. A *Vénéreau-type polynomial* is a polynomial of the form $y + xQ$ for some $Q \in R[v, w]$.

Note that $Q = x^{n-1}v$ gives f_n while $Q = x^{m-1}w$ gives g_m. We first give a sufficient condition for a Vénéreau-type polynomial to be a coordinate. Note the similarities to Propositions 1 and 2.

Theorem 9. *If $Q = x^2Q_1 + xvQ_2$ for some $Q_1 \in R[v, w]$ and $Q_2 \in \mathbb{C}[v^2, w]$, then $y + xQ$ is a coordinate.*

Proof. Write $Q_2 = \sum \alpha_{a,b} v^{2a} w^b$ for some $\alpha_{a,b} \in \mathbb{C}$. Define $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y, v, w]$ by

$$\varphi = (y, v - \frac{1}{2}x^2 \sum \alpha_{a,b} y^a w^{a+b+1}, w) \circ (y + xQ, v, w) \tag{5}$$

It suffices to check that $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}_R R[y, z, u]$. Let $Q' = vQ_2 + xQ_1$, and $P_1 = wQ_1$. Compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(p) &= \frac{1}{x^2}\left((y + x^2Q')w + (v - \frac{1}{2}x^2 \sum \alpha_{a,b}(y + x^2Q')^a w^{a+b})^2\right) \\
&\equiv p + \sum \alpha_{a,b} v(v^{2a}w^{b+1} - (yw)^a w^{b+1}) + xP_1 && \pmod{x^2} \\
&\equiv p + xP_1 && \pmod{x^2} \\
\varphi(z) &= \frac{1}{x}\varphi(v - yp) \equiv \frac{1}{x}(v - y(p + xP_1)) \equiv z - yP_1 && \pmod{x} \\
\varphi(u) &= \frac{1}{x^2}\varphi(w + 2vp - yp^2) \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{x^2}(w + 2vp - yp^2) + \frac{1}{x}(2vP_1 - 2ypP_1) + \\
&\qquad (-p \sum \alpha_{a,b} y^a w^{a+b+1} + p^2 \sum \alpha_{a,b} v^{2a+1} w^b - yP_1^2) && \pmod{x} \\
&\equiv u + 2zP_1 - yP_1^2 && \pmod{x}
\end{aligned}$$

□

It is interesting to note that the above automorphisms are stably tame; moreover, any automorphism of the above type, with a Vénéreau-type polynomial as a coordinate, must be stably tame. This is a consequence of the following, which arises quickly from the results of [4] (recall $S = \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$):

Theorem 10. *Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_R R^{[n]}$ with $J\phi = 1$. If ϕ is tame in $\operatorname{Aut}_S S^{[n]}$, and $\bar{\phi}$, its image modulo x, is a composition of elementary automorphsims in $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{[n]}$, then ϕ is stably tame.*

Proof. Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $B = R/(x^N)R$ and $I = \sqrt{(0)} = (x)$. Note $B/I = R/xR \cong \mathbb{C}$; since $\bar{\phi} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ is a composition of elementaries, [4] Theorem 4.1 yields the image of ϕ in $\operatorname{Aut}_B B^{[n]}$ is a composition of elementaries there as well. Combining this with the hypothesis ϕ is tame in $\operatorname{Aut}_S S^{[n]}$ and applying [4] Theorem 4.5 yields ϕ is stably tame. □

Proposition 11. *If $Q = x^2Q_1 + xvQ_2$ for some $Q_1 \in R[v, w]$ and $Q_2 \in \mathbb{C}[v^2, w]$, the automorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y, z, u]$ given by (5) is stably tame.*

Proof. Observe that $\varphi \equiv (y, z - y\bar{P_1}, u + 2z\bar{P_1} - y\bar{P_1^2}) \pmod{x}$ with $\bar{P_1} = -yp^2\bar{Q_1} \in \mathbb{C}[y, p]$, which is a Nagata-type automorphism, and thus 1-stably a product of elementaries. By construction φ is 1-stably tame over S, so Theorem 10 gives φ is stably tame. □

Corollary 12. *If $Q = x^2Q_1 + xvQ_2$ for some $Q_1 \in R[v, w]$ and $Q_2 \in \mathbb{C}[v^2, w]$, any $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y, z, u]$ with $\phi(y) = y + xQ$ is stably tame.*

Proof. If $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y, z, u]$ with $\phi(y) = y + xQ$, then $\phi \circ \varphi^{-1} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{R[y]} R[y][z, u]$. The composition is stably tame by the main theorem of [4], so since φ is stably tame, so is ϕ. □

Next, we will show that all Vénéreau-type polynomials are coordinates upon going modulo x and upon inverting x, hyperplanes, and stably tame 1-stable coordinates.

Theorem 13. *Let $f = y + xQ$, $Q \in R[v,w]$ be a Vénéreau-type polynomial. Then f is a coordinate in $S[y,z,u]$, and $\bar{f}$, the image upon going modulo x, is a coordinate in $\mathbb{C}[y,z,u]$.*

Proof. Since $Q \in R[v,w]$, $y + xQ$ is an elementary coordinate of $R[y,v,w]$ and hence $S[y,v,w]$. Since $S[y,v,w] \cong S[y,z,u]$, f is a coordinate of $S[y,z,u]$ as well. The second statement is trivial as $\bar{f} \equiv y$. ☐

As mentioned in the introduction, one can see that Vénéreau-type polynomials are hyperplanes using the preceding theorem along with Sathaye's theorem. We give a more concrete proof as a consequence of the following fact (pointed out to me by Arno van den Essen), which also appears (with $b = 0$) in [8]. A special case of this was used in [11] to show that f_1 is a hyperplane.

Lemma 14. *Let A be a commutative ring, $a, b \in A$, and $g \in A[y]$. Then $A[y]/(y + ag(y) - b) \cong A[y]/(y + g(ay + b))$.*

Proof. We compute below, where the first isomorphism is given by sending y to $ay + b$; we then identify $t = -g(ay + b)$, and use this to rewrite the relation in terms of t only.

$$\begin{aligned} A[y]/(y + ag(y) - b) &\cong A[ay+b]/\left(a(y + g(ay+b))\right) \cong A[ay+b,t]/\left(a(y+g(ay+b)), t + g(ay+b)\right) \\ &\cong A[ay+b,t]/\left(a(y-t), t+g(ay+b)\right) \cong A[t]/\left(t + g(at+b)\right) \end{aligned}$$

☐

Corollary 15. *Let A be a commutative ring, $a, b \in A^{[n]}$, and $g \in A^{[n]}[y]$. Then $y + ag(y) - b$ is a hyperplane over A if and only if $y + g(ay + b)$ is a hyperplane over A.*

Theorem 16. *Let $f = y + xQ$, $Q \in R[v,w]$, be a Vénéreau-type polynomial. Then it is R-hyperplane of $R[y,z,u]$; that is, $R[y,z,u]/(f) \cong_R R^{[2]}$.*

Proof. Let $p_0 = xyu + z^2$, $v_0 = z + yp_0$, and $w_0 = xu - 2v_0p_0 + yp_0^2$. By Corollary 15, it suffices to check that $y + xQ_0$ is a coordinate for any $Q_0 \in R[v_0, w_0]$. Let $D_0 = xy\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - 2z\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \in \mathrm{LND}_R\, R[y,z,u]$, and set $\psi_0 = \exp(\frac{p_0}{x}D_0) = (y, v_0, \frac{w_0}{x})$, so we see $S[y, v_0, w_0] = S[y,z,u]$. Observe that $\psi_0(p_0) = p_0$, so $p_0 = yw_0 + v_0^2$. Since $\theta_0 = (y + xQ_0, v_0, w_0) \in \mathrm{Aut}_S\, S[y,z,u]$ with $J\psi_0 = 1$, it suffices to check $\theta_0 \in \mathrm{End}_R\, R[y,z,u]$. Indeed $\theta_0(p) = p + xw_0Q_0$, so $\theta_0(z) = v - (y + xQ_0)(p + xw_0Q_0) \equiv z \pmod{x}$ and $\theta_0(u) = \frac{1}{x}\left(w_0 + 2v_0(p + xw_0Q_0) - (y + xQ_0)(p + xw_0Q_0)^2\right) \equiv u \pmod{x}$. Thus $y + xQ_0$ is a coordinate and hence a hyperplane, so $f = y + xQ$ is a hyperplane as well. ☐

Before proceeding, we remark that Corollary 15 raises an interesting question:

Conjecture 5. *Let A be a $\mathbb{Q}$ algebra, $a, b \in A^{[n]}$, and $g \in A^{[n]}[y]$. Then $y + g(ay + b)$ is a coordinate, if and only if $y + ag(y) - b$ is.*

This is weaker than the Embedding Conjecture, but the above proof shows that a proof of this conjecture would make every Vénéreau-type polynomial a coordinate.

The next thing we prove is a generalization of a fact about f_1 from [10]. The motivation is the following well known lemma:

Lemma 17. *Let A be a ring, and $f \in A^{[n]}$. Then the following are equivalent*

1. *f is a coordinate*
2. *$A^{[n]} \cong_{A[f]} A[f]^{[n-1]}$*
3. *$A[c]^{[n]}/(f - c) \cong_{A[c]} A[c]^{[n-1]}$, where c is an additional indeterminate*

In light of this, we may observe that

Corollary 18. *Let $P(x,c) \in \mathbb{C}[x,c] = R[c]$, and set $\tilde{R} = R[c]/(P)$. Let f be a Vénéreau-type polynomial. If f is a coordinate of $R[y,z,u]$, then $f - c$ is a $\tilde{R}$-hyperplane; i.e. $\tilde{R}[y,z,u]/(f - c) \cong_{\tilde{R}} \tilde{R}^{[2]}$.*

So if we found one such P for which a Vénéreau-type polynomial f is not a $\tilde{R}$-hyperplane, then it could not be a coordinate.

Theorem 19. *For any of the following polynomials $P_i \in R[c]$ and any Vénéreau-type polynomial $f = y + xQ$, $f - c$ is a $\tilde{R}_i$-hyperplane (where $\tilde{R}_i := R[c]/(P_i)$).*

1. $P_1 := x - x_0$ *(where $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$)*
2. $P_2 := c - c_0$ *(where $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$)*
3. $P_3 := x^2 - c^3$

Proof. For (1), first suppose $x_0 = 0$. Then $f - c \equiv y - c \pmod{x}$ is obviously a coordinate, hence a hyperplane. If $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then since $f - c$ is a S coordinate, $\bar{f} - c$ is a coordinate when we go mod $x - x_0$, and hence is a hyperplane. Part (2) follows very similarly to Theorem 16, but with $b = c_0$ in Corollary 15. Let Q_0 be the image of Q under the map of Corollary 15 for $b = 0$, and Q_1 the image under the map for $b = c_0$. Recall $(y + xQ_0, v_0, w_0) \in \operatorname{Aut}_S S[y, z, u]$. Conjugating this by $(y + \frac{c_0}{x}, v_0, w_0)$ gives $(y + xQ_1, v_0, w_0)$, and one can check similar to Theorem 16 that this is an element of $\operatorname{End}_R R[y, z, u]$, and hence in $\operatorname{Aut}_R R[y, z, u]$. So $y + xQ_1$ is a coordinate and thus a hyperplane, so Corollary 15 gives $f - c_0$ is a hyperplane.

For (3), we follow the approach sketched in [10] for the f_n; this requires Corollary 15 and the following (Corollary 1.31 from [8]), which is an application of the Abhyankar-Moh-Suzuki theorem:

Lemma 20. *Let $\alpha(y, z, u) \in \mathbb{C}[t][y, z, u]$ be a t-coordinate, and let $\beta \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. If $\alpha(y, z, \beta u)$ is a t-residual coordinate, then it is also a t-coordinate, and hence a $\mathbb{C}[t]$-hyperplane.*

The key idea from [10] is that $\tilde{R}_3 \cong \mathbb{C}[t^2, t^3]$, and using the main theorem from that paper, it suffices to show the image of $f - c$ in $\mathbb{C}[t^2, t^3]$ is a $\mathbb{C}[t]$-hyperplane. Note that the image of $f - c$ is $y + t^3Q(t^2z + yp, t^6u - 2t^3zp - yp^2) - t^2$. Applying Corollary 15 with $a = b = t^2$, it suffices to show $y + t^3Q(z + (y+1)p_1, t^4u - 2tzp_1 - (y+1)p_1^2)$ where $p_1 = t^2(y+1)u + z^2$. It is trivial to check that this is a t-residual coordinate; so taking $\beta = t^2$ in Lemma 20, it suffices to show that $y + t^3Q(z + (y+1)p_2, t^2u - 2tzp_2 - yp_2^2)$ is a coordinate, where $p_2 = (y+1)u + z^2$. But aside from replacing t by x, we recognize this as $y + x^3Q$ conjugated by $(y - 1, z, u)$, so we are done since $y + x^3Q$ is a coordinate by Theorem 9.

□

In [5], it was shown (essentially using Theorem 13 and Asanuma's Theorem [1]) that all Vénéreau-type polynomials are stable coordinates; however, no bound was given on the number of additional variables needed. It was previously shown in [7] that for f_1 and f_2, only one additional variable is needed. It turns out one is sufficent for all Vénéreau-type polynomials.

Theorem 21. *Let $f = y + xQ$, $Q \in R[v, w]$ be a Vénéreau-type polynomial. Then there exists $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y, z, u, t]$ with $\phi(y) = f$ and ϕ stably tame.*

Proof. Let $Q = \sum \alpha_{a,b,r} v^a (-w)^b x^r \in R[v, -w] = R[v, w]$. We will explicitly construct ϕ with the desired properties. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $m_{a,b} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be maximal such that $\delta_{a,b}$ and $\epsilon_{a,b}$ are nonnegative, where

$$\delta_{a,b} := a + b - m_{a,b} \qquad\qquad \epsilon_{a,b} := a + 2b + 1 - 2m_{a,b}$$

Observe $2\delta_{a,b} - \epsilon_{a,b} = a - 1$. Also define

$$\rho_{a,b,r} := \alpha_{a,b,r}\frac{(a+2b+2)(1-\epsilon_{a,b})}{2(2m+1)} \qquad\qquad \mu_{a,b,r} := \alpha_{a,b,r}\frac{(a+2b+1)\epsilon_{a,b}}{2m+1}$$

$$\sigma_{a,b,r} := \alpha_{a,b,r}\frac{(a+2b+2)(-\epsilon_{a,b})}{4} \qquad\qquad \tau_{a,b,r} := \alpha_{a,b,r}\frac{(a+2b+2)(-m_{a,b}\epsilon_{a,b})}{4}$$

$$\nu^{a,b,r}_{c,d,s} := -\mu_{a,b,r}(a+b)\alpha_{c,d,s} - (\rho_{a,b,r} - \alpha_{a,b,r})(\rho_{c,d,s} - \alpha_{c,d,s})$$

$$\kappa^{a,b,r}_{c,d,s} := -(\sigma_{a,b,r} + \delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,r})\alpha_{c,d,s}$$

$$\lambda^{a,b,r}_{c,d,s} := -\frac{1}{4}\Big((c+2d)\Big(\nu^{a,b,r}_{c,d,s} + 2\kappa^{a,b,r}_{c,d,s}\Big) + 4(a+b)\sigma_{a,b,r}\alpha_{c,d,s} + (a+2b+2)\rho_{a,b,r}(\rho_{c,d,s} - \alpha_{c,d,s}\Big)$$

One can quickly check

$$m_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,r} - \sigma_{a,b,r} = \alpha_{a,b,r}\frac{(a+2b+2)(\epsilon_{a,b}+2m_{a,b})}{4(2m_{a,b}+1)} \qquad m_{a,b}\sigma_{a,b,r} - \tau_{a,b,r} = 0$$

$$\epsilon_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,r} + 2\sigma_{a,b,r} = \alpha_{a,b,r}\binom{a+2b+2}{2}\left(\frac{-\epsilon_{a,b}}{2m_{a,b}+1}\right) \quad \epsilon_{a,b}\sigma_{a,b,r} + 2\tau_{a,b,r} = \alpha_{a,b,r}\binom{a+2b+2}{2}\left(\frac{-\epsilon_{a,b}}{2}\right)$$

Choose $\zeta_{a,b,r,j}$ and $\eta^{a,b,r,j}_{c,d,s}$ such that

$$\rho_{a,b,r} = \sum_{j=0}^{m_{a,b}}(-1)^{m_{a,b}-j}\zeta_{a,b,r,j} \qquad \sigma_{a,b,r} = \sum_{j=0}^{m_{a,b}}(-1)^{m_{a,b}-j}j\zeta_{a,b,r,j} \qquad \tau_{a,b,r} = \sum_{j=0}^{m_{a,b}}(-1)^{m_{a,b}-j}j^2\zeta_{a,b,r,j}$$

$$\kappa^{a,b,r}_{c,d,s} = \sum_{j=0}^{m_{a,b}}(-1)^{m_{a,b}-j}\eta^{a,b,r,j}_{c,d,s} \qquad \lambda^{a,b,r}_{c,d,s} = \sum_{j=0}^{m_{a,b}}(-1)^{m_{a,b}-j}j\eta^{a,b,r,j}_{c,d,s}$$

Observe that we may do this by assuming, via an affine transformation, that $m_{a,b} \geq 1$ whenever $\alpha_{a,b,r} \neq 0$. If $m_{a,b} = 1$ then $\sigma_{a,b,r} = \tau_{a,b,r}$, so such choices are possible; and clearly such choices may be made if $m_{a,b} \geq 2$. Now define $\phi', \phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,v,w]$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi' = (y, v + x\sum_{a,b,r}\sum_{j=0}^{m_{a,b}}\zeta_{a,b,r,j}y^{j+\delta_{a,b}}(xt)^{2j+\epsilon_{a,b}}w^{m_{a,b}-j} &+ \\
x^2\sum_{a,b,r}\sum_{c,d,s}\sum_{j=0}\eta^{a,b,r}_{c,d,s}x^s y^{c+d-1+j+\delta_{a,b}}(xt)^{c+2d+2j+\epsilon_{a,b}}w^{m_{a,b}-j}&, \\
w + x\sum_{a,b,r}\mu_{a,b,r}y^{a+b}t^{a+2b+2} + x^2\sum_{a,b,r}\sum_{c,d,s}x^s\nu^{a,b,r}_{c,d,s}y^{a+b+c+d-1}(xt)^{a+2b+c+2d+2}&, t) \\
\phi = (y,v,w,t-x(yw+v^2)) \circ \phi' \circ (y+xQ,v,w,t) \circ (y,v,w,t+x(yw+v^2))&
\end{aligned}$$

We will show that $\phi \in \operatorname{End}_R R[y,z,u]$ (and hence $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_R R[y,z,u]$), and that it is stably tame. Let $Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, V_0, V_1, V_2, W_0, W_1, W_2 \in \mathbb{C}[y,z,u]$ such that $Q \equiv Q_0 + xQ_1 + x^2Q_2 \pmod{x^3}$, $\phi(v) \equiv v + xV_0 + x^2V_1 + x^3V_2 \pmod{x^4}$, and $\phi(w) \equiv w + xW_0 + x^2W_1 + x^3W_2 \pmod{x^4}$. Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_0 &= \sum_{r=0}(-1)^b\alpha_{a,b,0}y^{a+b}p^{a+2b} \\
Q_1 &= \sum_{r=1}(-1)^b\alpha_{a,b,1}y^{a+b}p^{a+2b} + \sum_{r=0}(-1)^b\alpha_{a,b,0}y^{a+b-1}p^{a+2b-1}(a+2b)z \\
Q_2 &= \sum_{r=2}(-1)^b\alpha_{a,b,2}y^{a+b}p^{a+2b} + \sum_{r=1}(-1)^b\alpha_{a,b,1}y^{a+b-1}p^{a+2b-1}(a+2b)z + \\
&\quad \sum_{r=0}(-1)^b\alpha_{a,b,0}y^{a+b-2}p^{a+2b-2}\left[\frac{(a+2b)^2-(a+4b)}{2}z^2 - b(yu)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W_0 &= \sum_{r=0}y^{a+b}p^{a+2b+2}\mu_{a,b,0} \\
W_1 &= \sum_{r=1}y^{a+b}p^{a+2b+2}\mu_{a,b,1} + \sum_{r=0}\mu_{a,b,0}y^{a+b-1}p^{a+2b+1}\left[(a+b)(pQ_0) + (a+2b+2)(yt)\right] + \\
&\quad \sum_{r=0}\sum_{s=0}\nu^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}y^{a+bc+d-1}p^{a+2b+c+2d+2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}W_2 = {} & \sum_{r=2} y^{a+b}p^{a+2b+2}\mu_{a,b,2} + \sum_{r=1} \mu_{a,b,1}y^{a+b-1}p^{a+2b+1}\left[(a+b)(pQ_0) + (a+2b+2)(yt)\right] + \\ & \sum_{r=1}\sum_{s=0} \nu^{a,b,1}_{c,d,0}y^{a+b+c+d-1}p^{a+2b+c+2d+2} + \sum_{r=0} \mu_{a,b,0}y^{a+b-2}p^{a+2b}\Bigg[(a+b)(yp^2Q_1) + \binom{a+b}{2}(pQ_0)^2 + \\ & (a+b)(a+2b+2)(pQ_0)(yt) + \binom{a+2b+2}{2}(yt)^2\Bigg] + \sum_{r=0}\sum_{s=0} \nu^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}\left[(a+b+c+d-1)(pQ_0) + \right. \\ & \left.((a+2b)+(c+2d)+2)(yt)\right] y^{a+b+c+d-2}p^{a+2b+c+2d+1} + \sum_{r=0}\sum_{s=1} \nu^{a,b,0}_{c,d,1}y^{a+b+c+d-1}p^{a+2b+c+2d+2} + \\ & \sum_{r=0}\sum_{s=0} \xi^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}y^{a+b+2(c+d-1)}p^{a+2b+2(c+2d)+2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}V_0 = {} & \sum_{r=0} y^{a+b}p^{a+2b+1}\rho_{a,b,0} \\ V_1 = {} & \sum_{r=1} y^{a+b}p^{a+2b+1}\rho_{a,b,1} + \sum_{r=0} y^{a+b-1}p^{a+2b}\left[(\sigma_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0})(pQ_0) + (\epsilon_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0}+2\sigma_{a,b,0})(yt) + \right. \\ & \left. 2(m_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0}-\sigma_{a,b,0})z\right] + \sum_{r=0}\sum_{s=0} \kappa^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}y^{a+b+c+d-1}p^{a+2b+c+2d+1} \\ V_2 = {} & \sum_{r=2} y^{a+b}p^{a+2b+1}\rho_{a,b,2} + \sum_{r=1} y^{a+b-1}p^{a+2b}\Bigg[((\sigma_{a,b,1}+\delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,1})(pQ_0) + (2\sigma_{a,b,1}+\epsilon_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,1})(yt) + \\ & (2m_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,1}-2\sigma_{a,b,1})z) + \sum_{s=0} \kappa^{a,b,1}_{c,d,0}y^{c+d}p^{c+2d+1}\Bigg] + \\ & \sum_{r=0} y^{a+b-2}p^{a+2b-1}\left[\left[(\sigma_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0})\right](yp^2Q_1) + \right. \\ & \frac{1}{2}\left[(\tau_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\sigma_{a,b,0}) + (\delta_{a,b}-1)(\delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0}+\sigma_{a,b})\right](pQ_0)^2 + \left[2(\tau_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\sigma_{a,b,0}) + \right. \\ & \left.\epsilon_{a,b}(\sigma_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0})\right](pQ_0)(yt) + 2\left[-(\tau_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\sigma_{a,b,0}) + m_{a,b}(\sigma_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0})\right](pQ_0)z + \\ & \frac{1}{2}\left[2(2\tau_{a,b,0}+\epsilon_{a,b}\sigma_{a,b,0}) + (\epsilon_{a,b}-1)(2\sigma_{a,b,0}+\epsilon_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0})\right](yt)^2 + 2\left[(m_{a,b}\sigma_{a,b,0}-\tau_{a,b,0}) + \right. \\ & \left.\epsilon_{a,b}(m_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0}-\sigma_{a,b,0})\right](yt)z + \left[(\sigma_{a,b,0}-m_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0})\right](yu) + 2\left[-(m_{a,b}\sigma_{a,b,0}-\tau_{a,b,0}) + \right. \\ & \left.\left.(m_{a,b}-1)(m_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0}-\sigma_{a,b,0})\right]z^2\right] + \sum_{r=0}\sum_{s=0}\left(\left[(c+d-1+\delta_{a,b})\kappa^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0} + \lambda^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}\right](pQ_0) + \right. \\ & \left.\left[(c+2d+\epsilon_{a,b})\kappa^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0} + 2\lambda^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}\right](yt) + \left[2(m_{a,b}\kappa^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0} - \lambda^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0})\right]z\right) y^{a+b+c+d-2}p^{a+2b+c+2d} + \\ & \sum_{r=0}\sum_{s=1} \kappa^{a,b,0}_{c,d,1}y^{a+b+c+d-1}p^{a+2b+c+2d+1} + \sum_{r=0}\sum_{s=0} \chi^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}y^{a+2b+2(c+d-1)}p^{a+2b+2(c+2d)+1}\end{aligned}$$

Now we would like to compute $\phi(p)$. One can check $\phi(xp) \equiv P_{-1} + xP_0 + x^2P_1 \pmod{x^3}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}P_{-1} &:= y\left(W_0 + 2pV_0 - p^2Q_0\right) \\ P_0 &:= y(W_1 + 2pV_1 - p^2Q_1) + Q_0W_0 + V_0^2 + 2z(V_0 - pQ_0) \\ P_1 &:= y(W_2 + 2pV_2 - p^2Q_2) + Q_0u + Q_0W_1 + 2zV_1 + 2V_0V_1 - 2zpQ_1 + Q_1W_0\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 22. $P_{-1} = P_0 = 0$

Proof. It is easy to see that $P_{-1} = \sum y^{a+b+1}p^{a+2b+2}(\mu + 2\rho - \alpha) = 0$. Thus $W_0 = p^2Q_0 - 2pV_0$, so we may compute $P_0 = y(W_1 + 2pV_1 - p^2Q_1) + 2z(V_0 - pQ_0) + (V_0 - pQ_0)^2$, and observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_0 \equiv & \sum_{r=1} y^{a+b+1}p^{a+2b+2}\left(\mu_{a,b,1}+2\rho_{a,b,1}-\alpha_{a,b,1}\right)+\sum_{r=0}\sum_{s=0} y^{a+b+c+d}p^{a+2b+c+2d+2}\Big(\nu^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}+ \\
& \mu_{a,b,0}(a+b)\alpha_{c,d,0}+2(\kappa^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}+(\sigma_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0})\alpha_{c,d,0})+ \\
& (\rho_{a,b,0}-\alpha_{a,b,0})(\rho_{c,d,0}-\alpha_{c,d,0})\Big) && \pmod{(z,t)} \\
\equiv & \; 0 && \pmod{(z,t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we must have

$$P_0 = \sum y^{a+b}p^{a+2b+1}\left(yt\left[\mu(a+2b+2)+2(\epsilon\rho+2\sigma)\right]+z\left[4(m\rho-\sigma)-(a+2b)\alpha+2(\rho-\alpha)\right]\right)=0$$

□

Lemma 23. $P_1 \in \mathbb{C}[y,p]$

Proof. Using $P_{-1}=P_0=0$, a straightforward computation shows

$$P_1 = y(W_2+2pV_2-p^2Q_2)-\frac{Q_0}{y}\left((V_0-pQ_0)^2+2z(V_0-pQ_0)\right)+Q_0u+2(V_1-pQ_1)(z+V_0-pQ_0)$$

One can check that P_1 is of the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_1 = & \sum_{r=2} A_1 y^{a+b+1}p^{a+2b+2}+\sum_{r=1}\sum_{s=0} A_2 y^{a+b+c+d}p^{a+2b+c+2d+2}+ \\
& \sum_{r=0}\sum_{s=0}\sum_{t=0} A_3 y^{a+b+c+d+e+f-1}p^{a+2b+c+2d+e+2f+2}+\sum_{r=1} y^{a+b}p^{a+2b+1}(A_4(yt)+A_5z)+ \\
& \sum_{r=0}\sum_{s=0} y^{a+b+c+d-1}p^{a+2b+c+2d+1}(A_6(yt)+A_7(z))+ \\
& \sum_{r=0} y^{a+b-1}p^{a+2b}(A_8(yt)^2+A_9(yt)z+B_1(z^2)+B_2(yu))
\end{aligned}
$$

So we simply need to check that the sums for $A_4, \ldots A_9$ are zero and $B_1 = B_2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_4 &= \mu_1(a+2b+2)+2(2\sigma_1+\epsilon\rho_1)=0 \\
A_5 &= 4(m\rho_1-\sigma_1)-\alpha(a+2b)+2(\rho_1-\alpha_1)=\alpha(a+2b+2)\left(\frac{(a+2b+1)}{2m+1}-1+\frac{(1-\epsilon)}{2m+1}\right)=0 \\
A_8 &= \mu_0\binom{a+2b+2}{2}+(2(2\tau_0+\epsilon\sigma_0)+(\epsilon-1)(2\sigma_0+\epsilon\rho_0)) \\
&= \binom{a+2b+2}{2}\alpha\left(\frac{(a+2b+1)\epsilon}{2m+1}+2(\frac{-\epsilon}{2})+(\epsilon-1)(\frac{-\epsilon}{2m+1})\right)=0 \\
A_9 &= 4((m\sigma_0-\tau_0)+\epsilon(m\rho_0-\sigma_0))+2((\epsilon\rho_0+2\sigma_0)) \\
&= \alpha_0\frac{(a+2b+2)(a+2b+1)\epsilon}{2m+1}+2\alpha\binom{a+2b+2}{2}\frac{-\epsilon}{2m+1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_6 = & \; \mu_{a,b,0}(a+b)(a+2b+2)\alpha_{c,d,0}+\nu^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}(a+2b+c+2d+2)+2\Big((2(\tau_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b,}\sigma_{a,b,0})+ \\
& \quad \epsilon_{a,b}(\sigma_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0}))\alpha_{c,d,0}+(c+2d+\epsilon_{a,b})\kappa^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}+2\lambda^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}\Big)+2(\epsilon_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0}+2\sigma_{a,b,0})(\rho_{c,d,0}-\alpha_{c,d,0}) \\
= & \; (a+2b+2)\left(\nu^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}+\mu_{a,b,o}(a+b)\alpha_{c,d,0}\right)+(c+2d)\left(\nu^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}+2\kappa^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}\right)+4(\tau_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\sigma_{a,b,0})\alpha_{c,d,0}+ \\
& \quad 4\lambda^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}+2(\epsilon_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0}+2\sigma_{a,b,0})(\rho_{c,d,0}-\alpha_{c,d,0}) \\
= & \; (\rho_{c,d,0}-\alpha_{c,d,0})\left(-(a+2b+2)(\rho_{a,b,0}-\alpha_{a,b,0})+2(\epsilon_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0}+\sigma_{a,b,0})-(a+2b+2)\rho_{a,b,0}\right)+ \\
& \quad 4\alpha_{c,d,0}((\tau_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b,0}\sigma_{a,b,0})-(a+b)\sigma_{a,b,0})=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_7 &= \mu_{a,b,0}(a+b)(c+2d)\alpha_{c,d,0} + 2\left((\sigma_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0})(c+2d)\alpha_{c,d,0} + 2\left(-(\tau_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\sigma_{a,b,0})+\right.\right.\\
&\qquad \left.\left. m_{a,b}(\sigma_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0})\right)(\alpha_{c,d,0}) + 2(m_{a,b}\kappa^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0} - \lambda^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0})\right) - 2\alpha_{a,b,0}(\rho_{c,d,0}-\alpha_{c,d,0})+\\
&\qquad 2(\sigma_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0})\alpha_{c,d,0} + 2\kappa^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0} + 2\left(2(m_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0}-\sigma_{a,b,0}) - \alpha_{a,b,0}(a+2b)\right)(\rho_{c,d,0}-\alpha_{c,d,0})\\
&= (c+2d)\left(\mu_{a,b,0}(a+b)\alpha_{c,d,0} + 2(\sigma_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0})\right) - 4\lambda^{a,b,0}_{c,d,0}+\\
&\qquad \alpha_{c,d,0}\left(-4(\tau_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\sigma_{a,b,0})\right) + (\rho_{c,d,0}-\alpha_{c,d,0})(4(m_{a,b}\rho_{a,b,0}-\sigma_{a,b,0}) - 2\alpha_{a,b,0}(a+2b+1))\\
&= -(c+2d)(\rho_{a,b,0}-\alpha_{a,b,0})(\rho_{c,d,0}-\alpha_{c,d,0}) + \left(4(a+b)\sigma_{a,b,0} - 4(\tau_{a,b,0}+\delta_{a,b}\sigma_{a,b,0})\right)\alpha_{c,d,0}+\\
&\qquad (\rho_{c,d,0}-\alpha_{a,b,0})(4(m_{a,b,0}\rho_{a,b,0}-\sigma_{a,b,0}) - 2\alpha_{a,b,0}(a+2b+1) + (a+2b+2)\rho_{a,b,0})\\
&= -(c+2d)(\rho_{a,b,0}-\alpha_{a,b,0})(\rho_{c,d,0}-\alpha_{c,d,0}) + (a+2b)(\rho_{a,b,0}-\alpha_{a,b,0})(\rho_{c,d,0}-\alpha_{c,d,0})
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that while $A_7 \neq 0$, the sum $\sum_{r=0}\sum_{s=0} A_7 y^{a+b+c+d-1}p^{a+2b+c+2d+1} = 0$ by interchanging the sums for one of the two summands in the final computed expression for A_7. Now we check $B_1 = B_2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= 4(-(m\sigma_0-\tau_0) + (m-1)(m\rho_0-\sigma_0)) - \alpha_0\left(\frac{(a+2b)^2-(a+4b)}{2}\right) + 2(2(m\rho_0-\sigma_0) - \alpha_0(a+2b))\\
&= \alpha_0\left(\frac{(a+2b+2)(a+2b+1)(m)}{2m+1} - \frac{(a+2b)^2-(a+4b)}{2} - 2(a+2b)\right)\\
&= \alpha_0\left(\frac{\binom{a+2b+2}{2}(2m+1)}{(2m+1)} - \frac{\binom{a+2b+2}{2}}{2m+1} - \frac{(a+2b)^2-(a+4b)}{2} - 2(a+2b)\right)\\
&= \alpha_0\left(\frac{1}{2}\left((a+2b+2)(a+2b+1) - (a+2b)^2 + (a+4b) - 4(a+2b)\right) - \frac{1}{2m+1}\binom{a+2b+2}{2}\right)\\
&= \alpha_0\left(b+1-\frac{1}{2m+1}\binom{a+2b+2}{2}\right)\\
B_2 &= 2(\sigma_0 - m\rho_0) + b\alpha_0 + \alpha_0\\
&= \alpha_0\left(-\frac{1}{2m+1}\binom{a+2b+2}{2} + b + 1\right)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(xz) &= \phi(v-yp) \equiv (v+xV_0) - (y+xQ_0)(p+xP_1) && \pmod{x^2}\\
&\equiv xz + x(V_0 - pQ_0 - yP_1) && \pmod{x^2}\\
\phi(x^2u) &= \phi(w+2vp-yp^2)\\
&\equiv (-yp^2 + x(-2zp+W_0) + x^2(u+W_1))+\\
&\quad 2(yp + x(z+V_0) + x^2V_1)(p+xP_1+x^2P_2) - (y+xQ_0+x^2Q_1)(p+xP_1+x^2P_2)^2 && \pmod{x^3}\\
&\equiv x\left((-2zp+W_0) + 2(ypP_1 + (z+V_0)p) - (p^2Q_0 + 2ypP_1)\right) + x^2\left((u+W_1)+\right.\\
&\quad \left. 2(ypP_2 + (z+V_0)P_1 + pV_1) - y(2pP_2 + P_1^2) - Q_0(2pP_1) - Q_1p^2\right) && \pmod{x^3}\\
&\equiv x(W_0 + 2V_0p - p^2Q_0)+\\
&\quad x^2\left(u + (W_1 + 2pV_1 - p^2Q_1 + 2(z+v_0)P_1 - yP_1^2 - 2pQ_0P_1)\right) && \pmod{x^3}\\
&\equiv x^2(u - \frac{1}{y}\left(2z(V_0-pQ_0-yP_1) + (V_0-pQ_0-yP_1)^2\right)) && \pmod{x^3}
\end{aligned}$$

So clearly we have $\phi \in \operatorname{End}_R R[y,z,u]$; further, setting $\tilde{P} = \frac{1}{y}(V_0 - pQ_0 - yP_1) \in \mathbb{C}[y,p]$, we have $\phi \equiv (y, z+y\tilde{P}, u - 2yz\tilde{P} - y\tilde{P}^2, t - P_1) \pmod{x}$. The first three components form a (stably tame) Nagata type autmorphism of $\mathbb{C}[y,z,u]$; composing with the inverse of this yields $(y,z,u,t-P_1) \pmod{x}$, which is tame (over $\mathbb{C} \cong R/(x)$) as $P_1 \in \mathbb{C}[y,p]$. Thus ϕ is stably tame over $R/(x)$; clearly it is tame over $S = R_x$ by construction, so by Theorem 10, ϕ is stably tame. □

References

[1] Teruo Asanuma. Polynomial fibre rings of algebras over Noetherian rings. *Invent. Math.*, 87(1):101–127, 1987.

[2] H. Bass, E. H. Connell, and D. L. Wright. Locally polynomial algebras are symmetric algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 38(3):279–299, 1976/77.

[3] Joost Berson. Polynomial coordinates and their behavior in higher dimensions. *Ph.D. Thesis, University of Nijmegen*, 2004.

[4] Joost Berson, Arno van den Essen, and David Wright. Stable tameness of two-dimensional polynomial automorphisms over a regular ring. *Preprint*, 2010, arXiv:0707.3151.

[5] Daniel Daigle and Gene Freudenburg. Families of affine fibrations. In *Symmetry and spaces*, volume 278 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 35–43. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2010.

[6] Paul Eakin and William Heinzer. A cancellation problem for rings. In *Conference on Commutative Algebra (Univ. Kansas, Lawrence, Kan., 1972)*, pages 61–77. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 311. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[7] Gene Freudenburg. The Vénéreau polynomials relative to $\mathbb{C}^*$-fibrations and stable coordinates. In *Affine Algebraic Geometry*, pages 203–215. Osaka Univ. Press, Osaka, 2007.

[8] Shulim Kaliman, Stéphane Vénéreau, and Mikhail Zaidenberg. Simple birational extensions of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}^{[3]}$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356(2):509–555 (electronic), 2004.

[9] A. Sathaye. Polynomial ring in two variables over a DVR: a criterion. *Invent. Math.*, 74(1):159–168, 1983.

[10] Arno van den Essen, Stefan Maubach, and Stéphane Vénéreau. The special automorphism group of $R[t]/(t^m)[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ and coordinates of a subring of $R[t][x_1,\dots,x_n]$. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 210(1):141–146, 2007.

[11] Stéphane Vénéreau. Automorphismes et variables de l'anneau de polynômes $A[y_1,\dots,y_n]$. *Ph.D. Thesis, Université Grenoble I, Institut Fourier*, 2001.